

# Course - Instructor Evaluations Report for MEEG345 Intro Manufacturing Processes CRN 10409 - : Samuel Cubero

Project Title: Course-Instructor Evaluation - Fall 2019

Courses Audience: **5**Responses Received: **5**Response Ratio: **100%** 

#### **Report Comments**

This report is **Private & Confidential**. It is only intended for **Samuel Cubero**.


If you are not the intended recipient, please notify us via email <a href="mailto:irp@ku.ac.ae">irp@ku.ac.ae</a>.

This report will be available online for 60 days. Please download a copy by clicking on <u>Download PDF</u> in the top right corner of the report.

Creation Date: Thursday, December 26, 2019




#### Average hours per week spent on this course (not including classroom time)...




## Overall, I am proud of my efforts on this course



## Overall, I am satisfied with the quality of the course (content, assessment, facilities, etc.)




#### Overall, I am satisfied with the quality of **Samuel Cubero** teaching on the course




## **Comparative averages**


#### Overall, I am proud of my efforts on this course



#### Overall, I am satisfied with the quality of **Samuel Cubero** teaching on the course




#### Overall, I am satisfied with the quality of the course (content, assessment, facilities, etc.)




#### **Course Evaluation**


#### To what extent you agree with following statements:


Competency Statistics	Value
Mean	4.73
Standard Deviation	0.56






#### To what extent you agree with following statements: (continued)








#### **Instructor Evaluation**

#### To what extent do you agree with the following statements:

Competency Statistics	Value
Mean	5.00
Standard Deviation	0.00



Please add additional comments about the course / instructor. You might like to focus on particular strengths of the course and / or ways in which the course could be improved

#### Comments

Dr. Sam has allowed us to explore the world of manufacturing beyond the assigned theory. He motivated us and shared us a glimpse of real world design problems and has also given us tools to think further. It was a pleasure taking the course with you Dr. Sam!

Dr. Samuel is literally the best instructor ever! During the lectures, he presents all the information clearly with the aid of videos and samples to help us visualize the final product and understand the whole process. Moreover, he makes things more enjoyable like you can't sleep during his class. Super friendly and kind, all the class members agree on that.



# **Course - Instructor Evaluations Report for Introduction to Manufacturing Processes - : Samuel Cubero**

#### **Course-Instructor Evaluation - Fall 2018**

Project Audience 19 Responses Received 15 Response Ratio 78.95%

#### **Report Comments**

This report is **Private & Confidential**. It is only intended for **Samuel Cubero**.


If you are not the intended recipient, please notify us via email irp@ku.ac.ae.

This report will be available online for 60 days. Please download a copy by clicking on <u>Download PDF</u> in the top right corner of the report.

Creation Date Tue, Jan 15, 2019




#### Average hours per week spent on this course (not including classroom time)...




Statistics	Value
Response Count	15
Mean	1.53
Standard Deviation	+/-0.64


#### Overall, I am proud of my efforts on this course



# Overall, I am satisfied with the quality of the course (content, assessment, facilities, etc.)




## Overall, I am satisfied with the quality of **Samuel Cubero** teaching on the course




# **Comparative averages**

#### Overall, I am proud of my efforts on this course




Statistics	Value
Response Count	15
Mean	4.53
Standard Deviation	+/-0.64

## Overall, I am satisfied with the quality of **Samuel Cubero** teaching on the course



Statistics	Value
Response Count	15
Mean	4.73
Standard Deviation	+/-0.59

## Overall, I am satisfied with the quality of the course (content, assessment, facilities, etc.)




Statistics	Value
Response Count	15
Mean	4.60
Standard Deviation	+/-0.51

#### **Course Evaluation**


## To what extent you agree with following statements:






Statistics	Value
Response Count	15
Mean	4.13
Standard Deviation	+/-0.92

3. At the beginning of the course the instructor outlined the course structure (for example the learning outcomes and the grading scheme)




Statistics	Value
Response Count	15
Mean	4.60
Standard Deviation	+/-0.63

5. The workload for this course was appropriate




Statistics	Value
Response Count	15
Mean	4.47
Standard Deviation	+/-0.83

2. I was engaged in all activities and discussions related to the course




Statistics	Value
Response Count	15
Mean	4.47
Standard Deviation	+/-0.64

4. The course was well organized



Statistics	Value
Response Count	15
Mean	4.53
Standard Deviation	+/-0.74

6. The materials and activities (e.g. textbook, handouts, assessments, etc.) supported my learning in the course




Statistics	Value
Response Count	15
Mean	4.67



8. I was engaged in activities that helped develop

# 7. The course developed my intellectual curiosity and desire to learn more about the subject



Strongly Disagree (0.009 [Total (15	*		
(12	0	50%	100%
Statistics			Value
Response Count			15
Mean			4.60

Standard Deviation

+/-0.63

my anaytical and evaluative skills	
Strongly Agree (73.33%)	
Agree (20.00%)	
Neutral (6.67%)	
Disagree (0.00%)	
Strongly Disagree (0.00%)	
[ Total (15) ]	


Statistics	Value
Response Count	15
Mean	4.67
Standard Deviation	+/-0.62

50%


100%

#### To what extent you agree with following statements: (continued)

9. The assessments were aligned with the goals of the course and what was taught




10. The course quizzes, assignments and
examinations were graded fairly



Statistics	Value
Response Count	15
Mean	4.53
Standard Deviation	+/-0.74

Statistics	Value
Response Count	15
Mean	4.67
Standard Deviation	+/-0.62

11. The feedback on my assignments (quizzes, homework tasks and mid term exams) supported my learning



Statistics	Value
Response Count	15
Mean	4.67
Standard Deviation	+/-0.62

#### **Instructor Evaluation**

## To what extent do you agree with the following statements:




1. The instructor comes to class well prepared


Strongly Agree (73.33%)
Agree (26.67%)
Neutral (0.00%)
Disagree (0.00%)
Strongly Disagree (0.00%)
[Total (15)]
0 50% 100%

Statistics	Value
Response Count	15
Mean	4.73

2. The instructor presents and explains the subject clearly



Statistics	Value	
Response Count	15	




Strongly Disagree (0.00%)

[Total (15)]

	0	50%	100%
Statistics			Value
Response Count			15
Mean			4.73
Standard Deviation			+/-0.59


#### Mean 4.67 Standard Deviation +/-0.62

4. The instructor treated students with respect




Statistics	Value
Response Count	15
Mean	4.87
Standard Deviation	+/-0.52

5. The instructor was available for help outside class



Statistics	Value
Response Count	15
Mean	4.60
Standard Deviation	+/-0.74

6. The instructor motivated me to do my best work



Statistics	Value
Response Count	15
Mean	4.67
Standard Deviation	+/-0.62

## Please add additional comments about the course / instructor. You might like to focus on particular strengths of the course and / or ways in which the course could be improved

#### Comment

This course was well organized and explained by Dr.Samuel Cuebro. Dr.Samuel was very helpful and friendly. I believe in this course I learn a lot where I can use the knowledge I learned in real life practices. Dr.Samuel have a very unique style of teaching were he encourages students to work hard and to think harder and to give more effort in acquiring knowledge. Dr.Samuel focuses his overall view in providing engineers who can make this country greater. I believe that Dr.Samuel educated us to be real engineers in which we are proud to call our selves problems solvers. I hope we meet instructors like hem In the future where the course became more enjoyable ,easier to comprehend and stays as a rock in students mined.

only one problem the lab takes a lot of time and its only weights 15% it should be more around 40% is good. Over all Dr.Samuel Cubero is great instructor always their for help, and he have great knowledge.

Dr. Samuel is an excellent instructor, he respects the students a lot, which makes us respect him more. Also, his explanation of the course is really good.

The material is too much! Too much to cover in a short period of time, which is very wrong! But thanks to the instructor he tries his best to indicate what are the most important things we need to know in this course.

Dr Samuel Cuberois one of the best instructors I ever had in my life. He explained the subject very well and very simple. I wish he can teach all courses.

#### Course - Instructor Evaluation - Fall 2018: Samuel Cubero - [\$UPS]

Good Professor Knowledgeable well prepared and good pace of teaching, the course is well connected to mechanical Engineering and i liked the workshop allot and both of the supervisors working there very professional.

One of the best teachers in PI, to be honest



# **Course - Instructor Evaluations Report for Introduction to Manufacturing Processes - : Samuel Cubero**

#### **Course-Instructor Evaluation - Fall 2018**

Project Audience 7 Responses Received 7 Response Ratio 100%

#### **Report Comments**

This report is **Private & Confidential**. It is only intended for **Samuel Cubero**.


If you are not the intended recipient, please notify us via email irp@ku.ac.ae.

This report will be available online for 60 days. Please download a copy by clicking on <u>Download PDF</u> in the top right corner of the report.

Creation Date Tue, Jan 15, 2019




#### Average hours per week spent on this course (not including classroom time)...




Statistics	Value
Response Count	7
Mean	2.43
Standard Deviation	+/-1.40


### Overall, I am proud of my efforts on this course



# Overall, I am satisfied with the quality of the course (content, assessment, facilities, etc.)




## Overall, I am satisfied with the quality of <u>Samuel Cubero</u> teaching on the course




## **Comparative averages**

## Overall, I am proud of my efforts on this course




Statistics	Value
Response Count	7
Mean	4.71
Standard Deviation	+/-0.49

## Overall, I am satisfied with the quality of **Samuel Cubero** teaching on the course



Statistics	Value
Response Count	7
Mean	5.00
Standard Deviation	+/-0.00

## Overall, I am satisfied with the quality of the course (content, assessment, facilities, etc.)




Statistics	Value
Response Count	7
Mean	4.29
Standard Deviation	+/-0.76

#### **Course Evaluation**


## To what extent you agree with following statements:






Statistics	Value
Response Count	7
Mean	4.14
Standard Deviation	+/-1.21

3. At the beginning of the course the instructor outlined the course structure (for example the learning outcomes and the grading scheme)




Statistics	Value
Response Count	7
Mean	4.71
Standard Deviation	+/-0.76

5. The workload for this course was appropriate




Statistics	Value
Response Count	7
Mean	4.57
Standard Deviation	+/-0.79

2. I was engaged in all activities and discussions related to the course




Statistics	Value
Response Count	7
Mean	4.43
Standard Deviation	+/-0.98

4. The course was well organized



Statistics	Value
Response Count	7
Mean	4.43
Standard Deviation	+/-0.98

6. The materials and activities (e.g. textbook, handouts, assessments, etc.) supported my learning in the course




Statistics	Value
Response Count	7
Mean	4.57



8. I was engaged in activities that helped develop

## 7. The course developed my intellectual curiosity and desire to learn more about the subject



Neutral (14.29%) - Disagree (0.00%) - Strongly Disagree (0.00%) - [Total (7)] -		
0	50%	100%
Statistics		Value
Response Count		7


Strongly Agree (71.43%)	my anaytical and evaluati	ive skills		
0 50% 100	Agree (14.29%) Neutral (14.29%) Disagree (0.00%) Strongly Disagree (0.00%)			
		0 5	0% 10	0%

Statistics	Value
Response Count	7
Mean	4.57
Standard Deviation	+/-0.79


Statistics	Value
Response Count	7
Mean	4.57
Standard Deviation	+/-0.79

## To what extent you agree with following statements: (continued)

9. The assessments were aligned with the goals of the course and what was taught




10. The course quizzes, assignments and
examinations were graded fairly



Statistics	Value
Response Count	7
Mean	4.71
Standard Deviation	+/-0.76



11. The feedback on my assignments (quizzes, homework tasks and mid term exams) supported my learning



Statistics	Value
Response Count	7
Mean	4.43
Standard Deviation	+/-0.98

#### **Instructor Evaluation**

## To what extent do you agree with the following statements:

Competency Statistics	Value
Mean	4.93
Standard Deviation	+/-0.34

1. The instructor comes to class well prepared

Strongly Agree (100.00%)

Agree (0.00%)

Neutral (0.00%)

Disagree (0.00%)


Strongly Disagree (0.00%)

[Total (7)]


0 50% 100%

Statistics	Value
Response Count	7
Mean	5.00

2. The instructor presents and explains the subject clearly



Statistics	Value
Response Count	7



Please add additional comments about the course / instructor. You might like to focus on particular strengths of the course and / or ways in which the course could be improved

+/-0.38

#### Comment

Standard Deviation

One of the best instructors I ever took a course with since he explained everything and made me feel interested and motivated to do more, always well prepared and helps the students with everything, never late for any class.

He is very helpful doctor, always there for us when asking question never late for any class he is always well prepared. This is the best kind of doctors i ever took with them.



# **Course - Instructor Evaluations Report for Introduction to Manufacturing Processes - : Samuel Cubero**

#### **Course-Instructor Evaluation - Fall 2018**

Project Audience 16 Responses Received 15 Response Ratio 93.75%

#### **Report Comments**

This report is **Private & Confidential**. It is only intended for **Samuel Cubero**.


If you are not the intended recipient, please notify us via email irp@ku.ac.ae.

This report will be available online for 60 days. Please download a copy by clicking on <u>Download PDF</u> in the top right corner of the report.

Creation Date Tue, Jan 15, 2019




## Average hours per week spent on this course (not including classroom time)...




Statistics	Value
Response Count	15
Mean	1.73
Standard Deviation	+/-1.16


### Overall, I am proud of my efforts on this course



# Overall, I am satisfied with the quality of the course (content, assessment, facilities, etc.)




## Overall, I am satisfied with the quality of <u>Samuel Cubero</u> teaching on the course




## **Comparative averages**

## Overall, I am proud of my efforts on this course




Statistics	Value
Response Count	15
Mean	4.47
Standard Deviation	+/-0.74

#### Overall, I am satisfied with the quality of **Samuel Cubero** teaching on the course



Statistics	Value
Response Count	15
Mean	4.13
Standard Deviation	+/-1.46

## Overall, I am satisfied with the quality of the course (content, assessment, facilities, etc.)




Statistics	Value
Response Count	15
Mean	4.27
Standard Deviation	+/-0.88

#### **Course Evaluation**


## To what extent you agree with following statements:






Statistics	Value
Response Count	15
Mean	4.00
Standard Deviation	+/-0.93

3. At the beginning of the course the instructor outlined the course structure (for example the learning outcomes and the grading scheme)




Statistics	Value
Response Count	15
Mean	4.47
Standard Deviation	+/-0.64

5. The workload for this course was appropriate




Statistics	Value
Response Count	15
Mean	4.13
Standard Deviation	+/-0.83

2. I was engaged in all activities and discussions related to the course




Statistics	Value
Response Count	15
Mean	3.93
Standard Deviation	+/-0.80

4. The course was well organized



Statistics	Value
Response Count	15
Mean	4.20
Standard Deviation	+/-0.94

6. The materials and activities (e.g. textbook, handouts, assessments, etc.) supported my learning in the course




Statistics	Value
Response Count	15
Mean	4.33

#### **Standard Deviation** +/-0.72

8. I was engaged in activities that helped develop

my anaytical and evaluative skills

# 7. The course developed my intellectual curiosity and desire to learn more about the subject



Strongly Agree (66.67%) - Agree (20.00%) -			
Neutral (13.33%) - Disagree (0.00%) -			
Strongly Disagree (0.00%) - [Total (15)] -			
(13)]	)	50%	100%


Strongly Agree (53.339 Agree (40.009 Neutral (6.679 Disagree (0.009 Strongly Disagree (0.009	6)		
[1001(10	0	50%	100%

Statistics	Value
Response Count	15
Mean	4.53
Standard Deviation	+/-0.74


Statistics	Value
Response Count	15
Mean	4.47
Standard Deviation	+/-0.64

## To what extent you agree with following statements: (continued)

9. The assessments were aligned with the goals of the course and what was taught




10. The course quizzes, assignments ar	٦d
examinations were graded fairly	



Statistics	Value
Response Count	15
Mean	4.60
Standard Deviation	+/-0.63

Statistics	Value
Response Count	15
Mean	4.67
Standard Deviation	+/-0.62

11. The feedback on my assignments (quizzes, homework tasks and mid term exams) supported my learning



Statistics	Value
Response Count	15
Mean	4.60
Standard Deviation	+/-0.63

### **Instructor Evaluation**

## To what extent do you agree with the following statements:



1. The instructor comes to class well prepared

Strongly Agree (60.00%)

Agree (13.33%)

Neutral (13.33%)

Disagree (13.33%)

Strongly Disagree (0.00%)


[Total (15)]

0 50% 100%


Statistics	Value
Response Count	15
Mean	4.20

2. The instructor presents and explains the subject clearly

Strongly Agree (53.33%)



Statistics	Value
Response Count	15



Please add additional comments about the course / instructor. You might like to focus on particular strengths of the course and / or ways in which the course could be improved

100%

15

4.20

+/-0.77

Value


**Statistics** 

Mean

Response Count

Standard Deviation

50%



[Total (15)]

**Statistics** 

Mean

Response Count

Standard Deviation

Value

15

4.20

+/-0.86



# Course - Instructor Evaluations Report for MEEN325 Mechanics of Solids CRN 10430 - : Samuel Cubero

Project Title: Course-Instructor Evaluation - Fall 2019

Courses Audience: 11 Responses Received: 9 Response Ratio: 81.82%

#### **Report Comments**

This report is **Private & Confidential**. It is only intended for **Samuel Cubero**.


If you are not the intended recipient, please notify us via email <a href="mailto:irp@ku.ac.ae">irp@ku.ac.ae</a>.

This report will be available online for 60 days. Please download a copy by clicking on <u>Download PDF</u> in the top right corner of the report.

Creation Date: Thursday, December 26, 2019




## Average hours per week spent on this course (not including classroom time)...




## Overall, I am proud of my efforts on this course



#### Overall, I am satisfied with the quality of the course (content, assessment, facilities, etc.)




#### Overall, I am satisfied with the quality of **Samuel Cubero** teaching on the course




#### **Comparative averages**


#### Overall, I am proud of my efforts on this course



#### Overall, I am satisfied with the quality of **Samuel Cubero** teaching on the course




#### Overall, I am satisfied with the quality of the course (content, assessment, facilities, etc.)





#### **Course Evaluation**

#### To what extent you agree with following statements:


Competency Statistics	Value
Mean	4.80
Standard Deviation	0.43









#### To what extent you agree with following statements: (continued)








#### **Instructor Evaluation**

#### To what extent do you agree with the following statements:

Competency Statistics	Value
Mean	4.87
Standard Deviation	0.34



# Please add additional comments about the course / instructor. You might like to focus on particular strengths of the course and / or ways in which the course could be improved

#### Comments

- -Pleased with everything. Very stimulating lectures and brilliantly taught. Very interesting case studies as well which made for interesting class discussions.
- -Great lectures. Energetic. Great structure. Good structured and interesting seminars.
- -The teacher is very good at teaching and explaining.

The best instructor i hope he teaches us next semester introduction to manufacturing

Lectures are well explain. Also the instructor was well organize and encourage us to do our best. The instructor teaching was very good and he give real life examples for us to understand better.

Dr. Sam is very good in explaining the hard concepts by breaking them down into smaller parts without complicating the idea. I believe that our instructor did a great job this semester.

He is the best teacher,

He teached me very well, everything is clear.

I hope that he will teach me another course,